

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,552	01/27/2004	Dong-Keon Kong	46235	9586
1609	7590 12/14/2006	•	EXAMINER	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P. 1300 19TH STREET, N.W.			. PEACHES, RANDY	
SUITE 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			2617	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/764,552	KONG ET AL.
·······································	Examiner	Art Unit
	Randy Peaches	2617
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Randy Peaches.	(3)	
(2) <u>Raymond Persino</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: <u>06 December 2006</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representative	· e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,8 and 12</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Rezaiifar et al. US 200	04/0120283.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that v	reed would render the claims would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUIR REPLY TO THE SUBSTANCE OF T	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		•
	JOSEF SUPERVISORY F	PH FEILD PATENT EXAMINER
	COI LITTOOM I	* 11 mm; 7 * 100 * 10 * 11 * 11 * 1 * 10 * 1
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

Application No. 10/764,552

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A thourough discription of the Application and the prior art was given by the Attorney. In regards to claim 1, the language "location registration message includes information to determine whether or not a heterogeneous mobile communication system registers location information of the mobile terminal," has been determined to be specific and thus further consideration is required by the Examiner. Regarding claim 12, based on the comments and explaination of the claim language against prior art, the Examiner will reconsider the rejection.